



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.44, No.1

January 18th, 2008

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Douglas wrote in *Whose Service is Perfect Freedom*: 'the only rational meaning which can be attached to the phrase 'moral progress' is firstly a continuous approach to Reality... and, secondly, the ordering of our actions, in the light of such an approach, so that they tend towards our own and the general good. And if, as it may be held, Reality and Good, or God, are synonymous, these too come to much the same thing.' – Eric D. Butler in "Releasing Reality", 1979

NEWTON'S BY-LAWS OF EXPERTS – Source: *Online Opinion* by William York: The claim that the science debate over climate change is settled violates the most important of Newton's Laws. This violation is not of the famous Laws of Motion but of a little known set of derived bylaws, Newton's Laws of Experts, a major contribution to understanding social dynamics.

Newton's Laws of Motion may be simply stated as:

First Law: every object persists in its state of rest or uniform motion unless acted upon by an external force;

Second Law: the rate of change of momentum is directly proportional to the applied force; and

Third Law: for every action there is an equal and opposite reaction.

Newton's Laws of Experts, the bylaws, are as follows: First Law: every expert persists in his state of rest or opinion unless acted upon by an external grant; Second Law: the rate of change of opinion is directly proportional to the applied grant; and Third Law: for every expert there is an equal and opposite expert.

The First Law of Experts is well known: The First Law of Experts is well known and can be demonstrated in countless universities, institutes and research bodies. There are two major influences. First, the need to appear relevant to the wants of society means engagement in the great issues of the day. This has been brought on by well intentioned but misguided policy that assumes innovations, financial, technical or other, spring fully developed from academic research and national needs should determine the areas of research interest.

The Second Law of Coupling Science and Politics: The second and much more worrying influence comes from the coupling of politics to science. The academy has a natural bias towards the Left as its business is overthrowing old ideas and generating new interpretations and understanding. If this is coupled to saving the planet and giving rise to a better world then there is a resonance between politics and academia.

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Newton's By-Laws Of Experts* • *Answering The Advertisement* • *Scientists Protest And Expose Fraud At Bali Conference* • *Multiracial Societies: Joyful, Precious To Behold* • *The Unsinkable Dr. Toben* • *All Friends Together?* • *On Meeting The Aliens*

"ON TARGET"

January 18th, 2008

Page 1 (1)

At the present time there are three issues that resonate with at least parts of the academy: climate change, genetically modified organisms and nuclear power. In each case, it is arguable that the scientific understanding on the political side is selective, frequently ignorant and often presented in terms that startle the public.

As a result governments, often subject to marginal politics, have created opportunities for endless grant applications for any research perceived as relevant to these issues. As a further result, academia has responded by setting up special institutes or university departments and, with knowledge of the availability of large research grants, has applied for and received funding.

It is often the case that the envisaged research was not aimed at the target set by the government, but simply represents the dressing-up of a proposal in a way which would attract the grant.

This discussion leads to the Second Law of Experts: There is no doubt that large grants, leading to the establishment of new institutes, departments or divisions, have the effect of moving experts into positions where they will represent these new initiatives. The lifetime of these organisations is subject to the continuous feeding from grants, so there is every incentive to emphasise the importance and relevance of the research, thus providing strong and positive feedback.

The Third Law of Experts is one that is most commonly encountered in the Law: Expert witnesses are frequently called by both sides for explanations. So, rather than experts advising the bench, each side presents the most favourable explanation that helps its own case.

The present major concern of society is climate change. Why this is so is best understood in the words of H.L. Mencken, the Sage of Baltimore:

- The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed (and hence clamorous to be led to safety) by menacing it with an endless series of hobgoblins, all of them imaginary.

The effect of the political interest in climate change has been the violation of Newton's Third Law. Where are the experts speaking against the position that climate change is caused by human activity? They are scarcely to be seen or heard at this time. Within the academy, one expert will not willingly place himself between another expert and a grant-giving body, unless he has immunity from subsequent retribution. There are examples of those who have taken the contrary view being hounded by colleagues, being unable to secure research grants and even calls for them to be removed from their positions.

However Newton's Laws are eternal and immutable: The violation of the Third Law will be only temporary as slowly scientific observation and understanding will get the better of the present situation.

From the above analysis, it is a firm conclusion that the climate change debate is distorted in its presentation and that its alleged scientific conclusions are unsound. Only when the Third Law is satisfied will we finally understand.

This writer would not like to estimate how long this will take. Rather he would suggest that we all heed the advice of another sage, this time from Hollywood, where Sam Goldwyn is supposed to have said that he never liked making predictions, particularly about the future.

ANSWERING THE ADVERTISEMENT by James Reed: 'Hey buddy can you spare me a dime?' Maybe you need a real job. Try this: "A Senior Research Officer is sought to work with the Hon. Kim Beazley, Professorial Fellow in Political Science and International Relations, on research and writing projects related especially to the history of Australian defence and foreign policy, including the Australian-American alliance." (*The Australian*, 28/11/07, p.24)

The position is through the Faculty of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences, The University of Western Australia. The universities are always a refuge for failed politicians. I wonder which Asian studies department will be taking John Howard on board!

SCIENTISTS PROTEST AND EXPOSE FRAUD AT BALI CONFERENCE: It has been reported that approximately one hundred prominent scientists signed an open letter to U.N. Secretary-General, Ban Ki-Moon, clearly slamming the current IPCC process as a fraud. They note that it is "not possible to stop climate change, a natural phenomenon that has affected humanity through the ages".

"The average rate of warming of 0.1 to 0.2 degrees Celsius per decade recorded by satellites during the late 20th century falls within known natural rates of warming and cooling over the last 10,000 years."

"The IPCC Summaries for Policy Makers are the most widely read IPCC reports amongst politicians and non-scientists and are the basis for most climate change policy formulation ... The great majority of IPCC contributors and reviewers, and the tens of thousands of other scientists who are qualified to comment on these matters, are not involved in the preparation of these documents."

A team of scientists attending the Bali conference to dispute the man-made global warming theory, experienced heavy handed bully tactics and a mainstream media blackout. Dr. David Evans, a former carbon accounting modeller working for the Australian Greenhouse Office, slammed the conference as a "circus".

Following a press conference in Bali debunking the global warming hoax, British Lord Christopher Monckton issued a statement describing the hoax as UN-backed slaughter of the world's poorest people. This charge of genocide, most accurately reflects the actual intention of the British financial oligarchy pushing this scam.

Source for scientists' U.N. letter: <<http://www.nationalpost.com/news/story.html?id=164004>>

MULTIRACIAL SOCIETIES: JOYFUL, PRECIOUS TO BEHOLD by James Reed: "Police clashed violently with up to 100 residents in Melbourne's inner north amid fears of a dramatic breakdown of law and order among mostly African migrants." (*The Australian*, 30/11/07, p.2) Multiculturalism is indeed a wondrous policy. The joys of ethnic diversity, cooking, food and culture given to us by Gough Whitlam, Mal Fraser, Bob Hawke, Paul Keating, and John Howard will be continued by our now, 'greatly loved' Prime Minister Big Kev Rudd. And a little way down the track we look forward to all the good things that will come down to us, when Senator Penny Wong becomes Prime Minister. The international community will take each and every one of our ethnically enriched fingers and kiss them. As they should.

"Victoria Police this week set up a special taskforce, Operation Square, to deal with flare-ups and forge ties with African community leaders." What's this? Aaaagh! Racism! White Australia Policy! Surely this problem should be dealt with by increased African migration, say 1,000,000 per year, supported by taxing the intellectual classes – academics, journalists, teachers, lawyers, etc. – at 99 per cent of their salaries.

I am confident that all of the "chatterers" out there, bored with the riches scooped from the public purse, would be only too happy to make a meaningful contribution to dealing with such an important social problem.

THE UNSINKABLE DR. TOBEN by Betty Luks: Dr. Fredrick Toben, whose *Adelaide Institute* website questions the standard Holocaust story was facing action in the Federal Court by Jeremy Jones, former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry, requesting the gaoling of Toben for allegedly breaching a 2002 order by judge Catherine Branson. The order by Judge Branson was that Dr. Toben had breached the Racial Discrimination Act on various grounds, including publishing material implying that *the* Holocaust did not occur, doubting the existence of the Auschwitz gas chambers, among other propositions. The matter was settled by Dr. Toben apologising to a judge on

27 November 2007 and removing the offensive material and not republishing it. Dr. Toben outside the court said that he was going to continue running his site and questioning the Holocaust.

According to Jeremy Jones, former president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry : "All we sought was for a person who was causing upset and offence to other people within the Australian community in principle to stop doing it. There's a huge difference between scholarship and behaviour that is outlawed under Australian law." (*The Australian*, 28/11/07, p.10)

This raises the interesting question as to whether or not a scholarly, non-insulting, investigation and critique of the Holocaust is permitted under Australian law. Is any such inquiry "offensive" by definition?

P.S. And, true to form, *The Australian* placed a charming photograph of a posing, smiling new Melbourne arts festival boss, along with a report on his appointment, on the same page with an unposed photo of Dr. Toben taken at an odd angle which made his face appear out of proportion. It reminded me of the dreadful photos of three League folk an Adelaide newspaper published in the 1980s; Frank Bawden, Jeremy Lee and Eric Butler. If the reader didn't know any better he would think he was viewing mug-shots of some of the worst known criminals in town.

ALL FRIENDS TOGETHER? by Betty Luks: Historian David Irving and British National Party Leader, Nick Griffin, had been invited to Oxford University's debating society to debate on the question of free speech. Protesters from the Left did what they are expected to do and tried to disrupt the event, including staging a sit-in protest. Protesters had been chanting "kill", "kill", which brought forth Mr. Griffin's description of them as a "mob which would kill".

Oxford University's Jewish Society and the Islamic Society worked together to stage the strong protest. One wonders that if conservative critics who fear a future "Eurabia," an Islamic Europe, are correct, whether such future collaborations will occur.

ON MEETING THE ALIENS by James Reed: It was a shock, at first, for humanity to meet intelligent life from another star constellation: Alpha Centauri, 43 light-years from earth. The Googleplexes came from the planet Alphonsus. Civil war had wrecked their planet and job opportunities were poor. Earth looked a better place to be; nice and blue and watery. So they came in their billions. After all, the Googleplex people had studied earth culture, at least what electromagnetic radiation could tell about early 1960s Earth.

They particularly liked TV shows such as *The Rifleman* (1958-1962) starring Chuck Connors as Lucas McCain and how he used this large loop Winchester 1892, during the 1880s (proof they concluded, that humans could time travel), to fight racial bigotry. Earth was the place to go.

And of course, they were right. All eight of their green arms were welcomed by globalists and cosmopolitans, who, instead of speaking now of "one world", spoke instead of "one universe". Further, although the Googleplexes had a radically different DNA to humans, geneticists began to work frantically, to allow interracial matings and conceptions between humans and Googleplexes. After all, they publicly and proudly proclaimed, there cannot effectively be "one universe" as long as racial differences existed.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.